

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                       | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |  |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/621,650                            | 07/16/2003     | Julie Rugg           | T9968.A                 | 1039            |  |
| 20450 75                              | 590 08/25/2004 |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER        |  |
| ALAN J. HOWARTH                       |                |                      | GOODMAN, CHARLES        |                 |  |
| P.O. BOX 1909<br>SANDY, UT 84091-1909 |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |  |
|                                       |                |                      | 3724                    |                 |  |
|                                       |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004 |                 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/621,650   | RUGG ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
| ·  | Charles Goodman  | 3724   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim<br>within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days<br>ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to<br>cause the application to become ABANDONED | ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   | ) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | aminer. Note the attached Office   | Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  |  | -(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau  |  | a in the National Stage  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of  | , ,,   | <b>d</b> .   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>  | Paper No(s)/Mail Dai<br>5)  Notice of Informal Pa  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/621,650

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-11, 13-17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Graham.

Graham discloses a multi-purpose tool comprising all the elements claimed including, inter alia, a handle (e.g. 14); plurality of interchangeable punches and setters (e.g. Fig. 4); and a holder (e.g. 38). Figs. 1-8.

Regarding the method claim 15, it appears that since the bits of Graham are fully capable of punching and setting, it is the Examiner's position that Graham anticipates this claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,650 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 12 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graham.

Graham discloses the invention substantially as claimed except that it does not appear that Graham's cover (e.g. 30) is transparent. However, it is the Examiner's position that transparent covers are old and well known in the art of multi-purpose tools to allow the user to view the bit being used. Thus, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time of the instant invention to provide the device of Graham with transparent cover for the reasons stated supra, and the Examiner takes Official Notice to that effect.

### Conclusion

- 6. Fruhm and Sanger are cited as pertinent art.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Goodman whose telephone number is (703) 308-0501. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 7:30

Art Unit: 3724

AM to 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap, can be reached on (703) 308-1082.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Charles Goodman Primary Examiner

**AU 3724** 

August 23, 2004

CHARLES GOODM PRIMARY EXAMI